

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,802	04/13/2001	Lee A. Sweetapple	12492.0027	1943
7590 01/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Steptoe & Johnson LLP Attn.: Stuart T.F. Huang 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036			CHIN, GARY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|--|

Advisory Action

-

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	I fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check	either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final reje	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX M ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 706.07(f).	ONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sometimes (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension tatutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further considerat	ion and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form issues for appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresp NOTE:	onding number of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, s	second paragraph rejection for claim 21.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideral application in condition for allowance because:	tion has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will a explanation of how the new or amended claims would be reject	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-8,11,14-17 and 21-23</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9 and 19</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-14	149) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	GARY CHIN PRIMARY EXAMINED

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 9 and 19 as amended still fail to provide recitation in the body of these claims as to how the local interference is being detected by the recited structural elements as called for in the preamble of these claims..